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# Denmark, Number One 

By Steffen Arctander (SCC 1433)

The philatelic literature on the subject of the two earliest Danish stamps is very rich. Entire books describe in details the history and events surrounding the first printing of stamps in Denmark.

It is not the purpose of this article to repeat what has already been written and published. Interested readers are referred to such literature, but certain basic facts have been included in this article in order to support additional viewpoints.

It is also common knowledge, that foreign catalogues, including many European ones, do not properly describe the first issue as "No. One" and the second stamp (in chronological order of appearance) as "No. Two." In fact, the 2 RBS is almost commonly known outside of Scandinavia as "Denmark No. One," while it was indeed not the first stamp issued in Denmark.

Some excuse for this confusion exists in the fact, that the main printings of the very first stamp-4 RBS-were made AFTER the early printings of Denmark No. Two (2 RBS Ferslew printing). Other catalogues have probably listed the 2 RBS as "No. One" because it represents a lower denomination of what was believed to be a "series" of two stamps. The RBS then becomes No. Two in such catalogues.

Peculiar and interesting results of this confusion will be commented upon in a separate article ("Denmark No. Two"-and "Certificates or No Certiri:cates").

## 4 RBS-Denmark Number One

Foreign catalogues will mention one or two printings or color shades, while a few catalogues may mention that there are several printings, or two burelage types.

Recent studies (after 1959) by Danish experts have revealed that there are not just two types of burelage, and not just a Ferslew and three Thiele printings, and not just two types of Thiele II, but there are two IMPORTANT types of the Ferslew printing, now classified as Ferslew-I and Ferslew-II. To further "complicate" matters, the most critical experts can distinguish between an UNRETOUCHED Ferslew-I and a RETOUCHED Ferslew-I. The foremost. Danish expert on this subject, J. Schmidt-Andersen, calls these: Ferslew-Ia and Ferslew-Ib.

In the following, the writer will try to outline as briefly as possible the facts that justify such fine discrimination and thus have caused an enormous increase in the interest for 4 RBS (Ferslew in particular)-not to speak of an intense hunt for the "early Ferslew" printings.

## Retouching of the Printing Plates

The printing plates for the 4 RBS were retouched prior to printing of the first actual sheets. It seems beyond doubt that both plate-sets 1-2 and 3-4 were retouched before the first use. Plate-set 1-2, however, was subject to a total of THREE kinds or periods of retouching:

1) before the plates were taken into use
2) while the plates were in use
3) certain cliches in plate 1-2 were retouched before use, and then again while in use.
Of the "original" retouches, the one known as "Kranhold" on plate II No.

5 (second half of plate-set 1-2), sometimes called I-105, is among the best known and most popular among specialist collectors. Along with plate nur1bers I-13 and I-45, these are the only clichees from plate-set 1-2 which can be found throughout all 4 RBS printings (Ferslew, Thiele I and Thiele II) unchanged, i.e. without further retouches. The Thiele III was printed with plateset 3-4 and therefore does not show a Kranhold retouch.

Plate-set 3-4 has a considerable number of 'prominent" retouches, all in the category of 1) above=retouch before the plates were taken into use. Details on this subject have been published in many separate articles in "Nordisk Filatelistisk Tidsskrift" or in "Danmarks Kataloget" by J. Schmidt-Andersen and Flemming Rønne, also in many books by J. Schmidt-Andersen or by R. King-Farlow.

## The Very First Printings of 4 RBS

In the period between the 3rd March and 5th May 1851, a total of nearly 4 million copies of 4 RBS Ferslew printing were made and delivered to the Postal Comptroller for distribution. It is among these 3.8 million stamps that we must try to find the "early" and the "very early" Ferslew printings. An educated guess and a fair calculation based upon clues from the books that Ferslew kept on his work, seems to indicate that there were printed less then 120,000 copies of the "early Ferslew" (Ferslew-I). Some collectors and experts find this estimate far too high, particularly based on the hitherto very rare findings of these stamps. More conservative figures are perhaps "under 100,000 copies." These figures refer of course to actual production 122 years sgo, and we must therefore consider the losses of stamps during the century after the printing. In other words, it is fair to claim, that the "early Ferslew" copies are rare, perhaps even more scarce than the 2 RBS Ferslew prini-ing-a stamp which today commands a price of not less than U.S. $\$ 700$ for VF or better quality. No wonder that the hunt for these early printings is intensifying every month. Yet, one must bear in mind that the true printing figures for a stamp cannot be used as a guide for the future market value of this stamp. Just think of the 16 Skilling rouletted Denmark 1863, printed more scarcely than the 2 RBS Ferslew, yet it never has arrived at prices more than about one-third of the 2 RBS.

FERSLEW-I: A temporary stcp in the printing of the Ferslew issue has given basis for the theory, that retouches were undertaken exactly at that time and required several days of work, during which no stamps were printed. Worksheets and books indicate that the stop took place approximately on March 17, 1851. Stamps printed prior to that date, belong in the so-called Ferslew-I category. Those stamps which were not even retouched, are classified as Ferslew-Ia (Ferslew I, UNRETOUCHED), while the others are Fers-lew-Ib (Ferslew-I, RETOUCHED). It is of course necessary here to take the retouches of the UNUSED plate-set into consideration, and any analysis of these early printings must therefore include a study of the proof prints and the black/white proofs made prior to printing. Retouches made after these, but before the March 17 stop, are the "early retouches," and stamps UNRETOUCHED in that period, are the rare Ferslew-Ia. Needless to add, that very few people can do this analysis with accuracy.

Very, very few of the unretouched (Ferslew-Ia) are known, while the retouched Ferslew-Ib today appear now and then as fine rarities on exhibit or at auction sales, commanding higher and higher prices as the interest in possessing the "very first stamp" increases among specialist Denmark collectors. A complete envelope carrying the 4 RBS Ferslew stamp with a scparate date
cancellation of 1st April 1851 (Denmark's first FDC) was recently sold for well over U.S. $\$ 1500.00$. Yet, this date is still no guarantee that the stamp is an "early Ferslew," since no Ferslew stamps were released for sale to the public until 1st April 1851-a date when hundreds of theusands of Ferslew-II were already printed.

FERSLEW-II: Stamps printed after the 18th March 1851, until the end of the Ferslew printing (about 5th May 1851) are classified as "Ferslew-II." A bit confusing is it to see these classified as "Ferslew-I" in an attempt to name this second part of the first printing of stamps in Denmark.

It requires-as briefly mentioned above-more than average expertise to distinguish between Ferslew-I and Ferslew-II, or even more for Ferslew-Ia and Ferslew- Ib , and it is most unfortunate that the foremost expert in the world on this subject, Mr. J. Schmidt-Andersen in Denmark, is presently unable to undertake any such analysis. The expert must have at his disposal a (copy of the) color-proof sheet of the 4 RBS from the Museum of Postal History in Copenhagen, and he must also have a considerable number of (enlarged photos of) retouched and unretouched matching plate numbers of cancelled or actual stamps. Enlarged photos are used to study in detail the nature of the retouches after the plate number has been established. Chances of making an accurate analysis yourself as to whether or not you have a copy of the "early Ferslew" are minimal. Here, again, the writer would like to refer to a future article dealing with certificates. A few clues can be mentioned here: the CROWN in the 4 RBS is one detail where retouch apparently has been most needed. Unretouched, "early Ferslew" printings may have an identifiably unretouched crown, while the same plate number with clearly retouched crown from another "early Ferslew" printing can confirm this first step in retouches (during the printing period). A close study of the crown on two matching plate numbers can thus give some indication as to the exact type of Ferslew we have under investigation, but, as above mentioned, only an expert of outstanding qualifications may be able to determine for certain whether or not it is an "early Ferslew." A few dubious collectors base their thoughts mainly on the fact that we still do not know why the printing was temporarily stopped in March 1851, but we have only reason to believe it was to give time to a very needed retouch. For the same reason, we do not know how many sheers were made of Ferslew-I and how many of Ferslew-II. We only know for ce:tain that the total Ferslew printing was 38,000 sheets of $100 \mathrm{stamps}=3,8 \mathrm{mi}!-$ lion stamps.

Although the "early Ferslew" on whole letter are extremely rare, a date cancellation in addition to the prescribed mute ring cancellation on the stamp itself, may give some clue to the type of Ferslew. Theoretically, an "early Ferslew" can occur on letters dated as late as 1852 or even later, but if the date is earlier than 10th April 1851, chances are about 3 times better that the stamp is of the early printing, since nearly $65 \%$ of the entire Ferslew printing was completed AFTER the 10th April 1851. Letters dated earlier than 4th April are extremely rare.

Some collectors believe that the color of the 4 RBS Ferslew can give an additional clue to this mystery. There is some indication that most of the "early" Ferslew stamps have a more reddish-brown color, and rarely are of the common chocolate-brown nuance. But experts warn against using the color as a sure criterion.

BURELAGE: The Ferslew printings are generally recognized by the clear and slightly raised burelage made by the copperplate, and imprinted before the brown stamp design was transferred to the sheets. Some collectors see
this burelage clearly with the naked eye, but other clues are the "embossed look" where the burelage catches the cancellation ink and reveals the burelage here and there on the stamp (and not just in the margin).

The use of the watermark detector with petroleum ether (pure hexanc) and viewing the stamp first from the back side, then from the front side, will usually reveal the burelage as wavy greyish lines, much clearer than the lithographed burelage on the Thiele printings.

Long-wave UV light analysis lamps are also useful in enhancing the pattern of the burelage because the color of the burelage shows up different from the paper under such light.

A very common error, particularly noticeable at foreign auctions or sales, is that of identifying a Ferslew printing solely by the mute cancellation. A!though the mute cancellation indeed is the most common on the Ferslew priniing, it is certainly not a safe clue to a Ferslew, or an unambigucus proof of this. Thiele I and II with mute cancellations are quite common and, for that matter, Ferslew printings with numeral cancellations are also quite common.

Ferslew printings with inverted watermark do exist, but are extremely rare.

## 4 RBS Thiele Printings

Due to the great variety of colors, particularly in the Thiele III, these printings have become quite popular among collectors, and often achieve higher market prices than the Ferslew (common) printings, although the two were made in the same amount.

THIELE-I: The printing of another 4 million 4 RBS stamps was initiated on March 3, 1852, and this stamp, known as Thiele-I, received a lithographed burelage, which is hardly visible and extremely difficult to use as a clue for plate I and II analysis. Most collectors will have little difficulty in determining this printing, however. The reddish-brown color, the very weak burelage, the use of plates I and II (difference from Thiele III), the numeral cancellation (only as an auxiliary criterion), the date on an envelope if the stamp is on letter, all can contribute to solid identification. At this time, between 4 RBS Thiele I and II, the Thiele printing of 2 RBS was undertaken.

THIELE-II: The 4 million copies of Thiele-I were soon used up and a year later, between 16th June and 20th August 1853, another 4 million stamps were printed. These are known as Thiele-II.

The 4 RBS Thicle-II received a stronger, more visible burelage, perhaps as a result of complaints, that the burelage in Thiele-I was barely visible. It had been pale orange-yellow, and since the paper on these stamps rarely remains white, the burelage has become even weaker or less distinct with age. In the Thiele-II printing a stronger nuance of orange-yellow color was used, and the burelage on this printing is usually clearly visibie to the naked eye.

Due to the wear and tear of the printing plates (plates I and II were stiil used), experiments with the printing color resulted in the existence of two "sub-types" of Thiele-II, known as Thiele-IIa and Thiele-IIb. The former being dark brown, showing a very coarse, irregular printing, while the latter being dark reddish-brown shows a more distinct, clear and legible printing. The two types are almost equally common. The writer is somewhat puzzled by the statement that the sharp, clear and distinct printing is supposed to be Thiele-IIa, while the irregular printing, showing very perceptible signs of "worn plates" should be Thiele-IIa. It would be more logical if the most worn printing would show up on the latest copies, Thiele-IIb-the last to be
printed with plates I and II before these plates were maculated. The writer would be very grateful for any additional comments to cicar up this question, and he appeals to readers to submit such information if possible.

THIELE-III: The increased use of postage stamps in Denmark shows clearly in that the 4 million Thiele-II copies were used up in about 6 months, and a new printing took place between 18th March and 16th May 1854. This was the last printing of the 4 RBS, and it is known as Thiele-III. It was made with the hitherto unused plates III and IV, and therefore shows a clearer print, neat and distinct. Here, again, the burelage was very weak, and appears in a dull, orange-brown nuance. However, the fact that the Thiele-III was made with plates III and IV makes it fairly easy to confuse these stamps with the other "weak-burelage" stamps of Thiele-I printing, which was made with plates I and II. The burelage in plates I and III shows "points up" in the wavy lines, while it shows "points down" in plates II and IV. Only the great color variety of Thiele-III is a helpful chue in distinguishing this issue from the Thiele-I printing. Naturally, a date cancellation on an envelope, if the date is earlier than June 1853, is a safe clue that the stamp is NOT a Thiele-III.

Thiele-III is famous for its wealth of color nuances, and even foreign catalogues often make mention of the so-called "chesinut-brown" variety, which commands a price of almost ten times of that of the ordinary color (yellowish brown). However, experts and collectors continue to argue about what "chestnut-brown" really is. One explanation which appears fairly acceptable to the writer is that this rare nuance closely matches that of the 4 Skilling rouletted 1863, a stamp which appears in almost any Denmark collection and thus can be used for comparison. However, such comparison again suffers from the fact that the 4 Skilling has nuances, and it can bleach, or it does not always have the color, described as "chestnut-brown." Another nuance is the "nut-brown," almost as rare and desirable, and even more disputed. An article, such as this one, cannot contribute much to the problem, unless we could show a range of superb color-reproductions of typical nuances, but the specialist-collector will undoubtedly have a few dozens of Thiele-III in his Denmark collection, and this is often the best basis for a color study "in Natura."

As a result of the Thiele-III being made with the plates III and IV, the "Kranhold Retouch" is of course not found in this issue.

## Summary

4 RBS-DENMARK No. One was produced in a total of FOUR printings, of which the first and the third by interruption can be subdivided each into two further printings. There are distinguishable differences between unretouched and retouched stamps of same plate numbers of the "early Ferslew," thus adding another "printing" to make a total of SEVEN identifiable types of the 4 RBS. Of these, only the "early Ferslew"-retouched and unretouched -are rare and have become highly desirable objects for collection by specialjsts.

The writer would welcome additional comments serving to further enlighten the above subject, and hopes that research work on "Denmark Number One" will continue to expand as various collectors and specialists supply ne:v findings, corrections to this or other publications, etc.
-Steffen Arctander, Box 228-B, R. D. Olyphant, Pa. 18447

# Carl H. Werenskiold Wins Anderssen-Dethloff Award 

11 Febr. 1974

"The Anderssen-Dethloff Medal"

We have the great happiness and honor to report to you that the Committee for the Administration of the "Anderssen-Dethloff medal" has, at jts January 21st meeting, decided to confer this medal upon you.

We cite from par. 2 of the by-laws: "The medal may be conferred upon Norwegians as well as foreign philatelists, and is to be a reward for outstanding contributions in the field of philately."

Presentation of the medal will take place in conjunction with OFK's summer meeting, May 27, at 19.30 o'clock in Lektorenes Hus, Vergelandsveien 15 (Oslo). If it be at all possible for you to be present, the commitiee will appreciate this very much.

The following have already received the medal:
Johs. Jellestad, Bergen
Abraham Odfjell, Bergen
Sir John Wilson, London
Nils Strandell, Stockholm
Arnstein Berntsen, Oslo
Per Gellein, Oslo
Dan Thune-Larsen, Oslo
Oslo Filatejistklubb congratulates you upon this the highest honor the club can bestow for service to philately.

Sincerely<br>Oslo Filatelistklubb

(sign.) Jan. M. Claussen, President

# Postal Automation in Scandinavia, Using Luminescent Stamps 

By Carl H. Werenskiold (H-10)

The postal administrations of various countries have long felt the need of more or less automatic processing of mail in order to lower costs and to increase speed of handling. Much experimentation has been going on in various countries to develop suitable procedures and equipment. Several approaches have been tried, and some later abandoned, with the result that installations now under consideration or in operation are in part based on the use of luminescent postage stamps.

The term luminescence refers to a number of natural processes, in which an emission of light occurs from a substance under the influence of an exciting agent. One subgroup is photoluminescence, in which the excitation is produced mainly by ultraviolet and/or visual light rays. Photoluminescence is again divided, for practical reasons, into fluorescence, the emission of light ceases almost instantly, when the excitation is discontinued. In phosphorescence, on the other hand, there is a definitely noticeable continued emission of light, an "afterglow," which is visible in the dark for seconds or a mucn
longer time. For details, refer to the literature. ${ }^{1}$
The examination of objects, such as stamps, exhibiting luminescence, i.e. fluorescence or phosphorescence, is ordinarily done with the aid of a lamp emitting ultraviolet "light" of mainly either long or short wavelength, about $320-400$ or $200-280$ nanometers (millionths millimeter), resp., or both. The lamps are provided with suitable filters to exclude visible light as far as possible, and the examination is, of course, done in the dark. A long-wave ultraviolet lamp is adequate and preferred for examination of Scandinavian luminescent stamps, while a short-wave lamp is necessary for the study of U. S. stamps.

The paper under the stamps being examined should not be luminescent, but should merely show a neutral grey response under ultraviolet, so as not to interfere with the appearance of the luminescence of the stamps. The gum on mint stamps and on hinges luminesces strongly. This makes mint stamps look unduly bright under ultraviolet, and also calls for complete removal of gum in the washing of used stamps. It is often informative to examine both front and back of a stamp.

Glasses should always be worn when working with short-wave ultraviolet light, to protect the eyes from harmful radiation. Light from an ordinary incandescent light bulb may be used as an exciting agent in certain cases, but it is much less effective than ultraviolet rays.

Highly sophisticated automatic installations for the processing of letter mail are available from a number of engineering concerns. While these installations vary considerably in constructional detail, they generally involve the following essential functions:

1. Preliminary separation of outgoing letter mail of standard sizes from oversize letters, parcels, etc.
2. The standard size letters carrying luminescent stamps are passed on to a Facer-Canceller unit, where the letters are turned whenever required, so that the stamps will be located in the upper right corner of all letters, after which they are cancelled automatically. These operations are triggered by the radiation emitted by the luminescent stamps, when excited by an ultraviolet source in the unit. The construction may vary according to whether fluorescent or phorphorescent stamps are employed.
3. The cancelled mail is then passed on to Coding Stations, where a numbe: of operators convert the postal numbers ("ZIP") into printed luminescent code markings by means of specially designed "typewriters."
4. The thus coded mail is next passed on to a Code Reader and Sorter Unit, which sorts the mail by the luminescent code, distributing it to appropriate bins, ready for transportation. Incoming mail may be processed in a somewhat similar manner.
5. Parcels and oversize letters are processed manually.

The early, largely experimental work on automation was carried out chiefly in Great Britain (1957 graphite lines, 1959-60 phosphcrescence), Germany (1958 fluorescence), Canada (1962 phosphorescence) and United States (1963 phosphorescence). Phosphorescent stamps are sometimes called "tagged," particularly in U.S. ${ }^{2}$ The phosphor coating may be applied to the paper before printing, or to the printed stamps.

While a certain stability has now been attained in the construction and operation of automatic equipment, the broad subject is still under active studv in the various countries.

The production of luminescent stamps involves a choice between fluores-
cence and phosphorescence in accordance with the automatic equipment to lee used for the processing of letter mail. Each of these two alternatives has itz advantages and disadvantages. The fluorescent substances are usually organic dyes in more or less liquid or paste form. They can either be incorporated conveniently in the paper pulp in the manufacture of the stamp paper, or they can be applied as coatings on the paper. Among the disadvantages are the usually yellowish tint visible on the printed stamp (fluorescence in daylight) and the tendency of the fluorescent substance to dissolve when wet and to rub off, even when relatively dry, onto other objects in contact therewith, such as album pages. The phosphorescent substances, on the other hand, are solids and can be applied effectively as coatings only. They do not ordinarily discolor the paper, and do not usually dissolve or rub off to an objectionable extent, whether wet or dry. The coating process is, however, relatively expensive. The phosphorescent solids tend to be somewhat gritty, and therefore subject the needles used in perforating the stamp sheets to extra wear. Weighing the various pros and cons of fluorescent vs. phosphorescent stamps, some postal administrations have chosen fluorescence, and others phosphorescence, as the basis for their automatic equipment and the stamps to be used therewith.

The paper of most older stamps and envelopes usually shows little or no fluorescence under ultraviolet light, at most a brown or weak violet response, the latter largely due to reflection of the residual visual light from the ultraviolet lamp. Better grades of white paper, however such as that of some envelopes and stamps, contain an "optical brightener," a fluorescent dye added to the pulp in the manufacture of the paper. Such products are known by many names, such as optical brightener, optical whitener, optica! bleacin, fluorescent bleach, and Brancopher (trademark). Such paper, also known as "hybrite", 2 shows a bright blue fluorescence, which would, offhand, be objectionable in a fluorescence installation, in that it would act as a false alarm on the light-sensitiva device in the facer-canceller unit. This blue fluorescence can be removed, however, by a suitable color filter, and the fluorescent or phosphorescent substances in the stamps are also usually so formulated as to respond in a different color, such as yellow, green or red. The blue fluorescence is of no consequence in installations based on phosphorescence, since the fluorescent emission of the blue does not continue into the "afterglow" period, while the emission from the phosphorescent stamps remains active.

It will be noted that there is some looseness and confusion in the customary terminology in the luminescence field. The term fluolescence is thus often used to signify either fluorescence proper or phosphorescence. Conversely, the term phosphor is often used for luminescent substances regardless of whether they produce fluorescence or phosphorescence. The following terms, being concise and free from ambiguity, are preferred for the sake of clarity in this article:
Luminophor, a substance producing fluorescence or phosphorescence. ${ }^{3}$ Phosphor, a substance producing phosphorescence (notic able afterglow). ${ }^{4}$ Fluorochrome, a substance producing fluorescence (substantially no afterglow) ${ }^{\text {t }}$ Brightener, a substance producing blue fluorescence, merely for the purpose of whitening the paper. ${ }^{4}$
It should be noted that the blue fluorescence from envelope paper and stamps containing brighteners is actually unwanted in the automatic equip. ment and is therefore rendered inoperative in the facer-canceller, for reasons stated above.

Information on postal automation in the Scandinavian countries is not
plentiful, probably because the installations may still be considered as being in the more or less experimental stages. The information that I have been able to gather, as reported in the following, is therefore necessarily somewhat fragmentary.

## Postal Automation in Denmark

A "brevvendemaskin" (facer-canceller) was inaugurated on May 4, 1963 at the Aarhus C post office for experimental use with fluorescent stamps. i number of fluorescent stamps were issued in November 1962 in order to have them in circulation in time for the experimental operation. The equipment was installed by the German firm Telefunken in Konstanz. The paper is manufactured in Germany. The fluorochromes used, "Lumogen UV Yellow Orange," and modifications thereof, are made by Badische Anilin- und Sodafabriken. They are either incorporated in the wet paper pulp, or coated on the paper, and exists in a highly viscous form in the finished paper.

Many Danish stamps of 1962-1966, and nearly all from 1967 to date, are on fluorescent paper, and are listed in a catalog by Rasmussen ${ }^{5}$ together with a short description of the installation in Aarhus. These stamps are also identified by an F in the AFA catalog. ${ }^{5}$

The following early dates are of interest:
Sept. 1, 1962. First sale of postal card with fluorescent stripe.
Nov. 15, 1962. First issue of fluorescent stamp, 10 öre wavy lines.
The fluorescence colors of the stamps vary, in the different stamps, from chrome yellow to light yellow and white as listed in the Rasmussen catalog. ${ }^{5}$ Some stamps show fluorescence on both front and back, others on front only, depending on the method used in applying the fluorochrome in each case, whether in or on the paper. Danish fluorescent stamps shoud normally be examined under a long-wave ultraviolet lamp. Short wave may be used, if so desired, but the fluorescent color shades may not be quite the same.

In a cursory examination, I have noted the following typical responses under long-wave ultraviolet:

Yellow front and yellow back: The usual response, signifying that the fluorochrome had been added directly to the wet paper pulp. Example: AFA \#318-F-10 öre Wavy Lines.
Yellow to white front and white back: Coating only. Eximples: AFA \#481 c Frederik IX and \#402F-25 kr.
Yellow to white fron a and blue back: Coating on paper containing brightener. Examples: AFA \#471F-Koldinghus and \#498F-Elephant.
Blue front and blue back: Paper containing brightener, but no active fluorochrome. Example: AFA \#452-Music Conservatory.
Brown front and brown back, or other non-luminous responses: Ordinary paper, no brightener, no active fluorochrome. Examples: Issues before 1962.

Sheets containing fluorescent stamps have an L (for Lumogen) and a serial number in the margin. On Danish postal stationery, a fluorescent stripe about 5 mm wide is placed next to the normal stamp impression.

Frimærkeårbogen 1971-1972 ${ }^{6}$ contains a chapter on an electronic letter coding-sorting installation in Copenhagen, by Standard Elektrik Lorentz, Berlin. It was operated experimentally Oct. 20-Dec. 18, 1970 and officially thereafter. The capacity is about 40,000 letters per hour.

The four-digit numerical code numbers are printed on the letters in shor
lines with fluorescent ink, according to the following system:

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 0 |
| 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  | $\mid$ |  | 1 |
| 2 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | $\mid$ |  |  | $\mid$ | 2 |
| 4 | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | $\mid$ |  |  |  | 4 |
| 7 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | $\mid$ | 7 |

It will be noted that there are two code lines for each number, and that their values add up to the number in each case, with the exception that $4+7$ has arbitrarily been assigned the value zero.

## Postal Automation in Norway

After an initial planning and experimental period, it was decided to operate with phosphorescent stamps, and a number of such stamps were issued on Dec. 1, 1967, so that they would be in circulation in time for use in 2 projected facer-canceller at Oslo post office. All Norwegian stamps issuer after that date are likewise on phosphorescent paper.

A segregator-culling machine of English manufacture (Elliot), for sepa:ating mail of formats different from normal envelope sizes, was installed :m 1967. A facer-canceller from AEG-Telefunken was installed and operated in 1968. A letter-sorting installation, also by AEG-Telefunken, was in place Dec. 17, 1971, run experimentally Jan. 26, 1972 and operated continuously from a short time thereafter. It consists mainly of 15 coding stations and 2 code-reader-sorting machines. The combined installation has a capacity of about 40,000 letters per hour.

The phosphor-coated paper is delivered by Borregaard $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$. It is pure white in daylight, and is said not to be materially damaged by reasonable washing in water. The phosphor employed until recently was an activated zinc sulfide from Radium-Chemie Teufen, Switzerland, and was applied at 2 grams per square meter of paper. Under the preferred long-wave ultravioler, the paper with this phosphor shows a strong yellow phosphorescence, with an afterglow visible for several, say 10 , seconds in a dark room. An afterglow of much shorter duration can be observed after exposure of the stamps to strong light from an ordinary electric bulb. Care must be taken, of course, to adapt the eyes to darkness, before attempting to observe the afterglow. Lately a new phosphor is being used, zinc sulfide from Farmwerke Hoechst. "Leuchtpigment N-Feinkörnig 3," which exhibits a light green phosphorescence under long-wave ultraviolet. On Norwegian postal stationery, a phosphorescent stripe about 5 mm wide is imprinted next to the normal stamp impression.

I have noted the following typical responses on Norwegian stamps unde: long-wave ultraviolet:
Yellow front and brownish back (with some translucence from the front:: Teufen phosphor on ordinary paper. Example: Nk (Norw. cat.) \#617, 5 öre Posthorn.

Light green front and brownish back (with some translucence from the front): Hoechsí phosphor on ordinary paper. Example: Nk \#722-Geographic Survey.
Blue front and blue back: Paper containing brightener, but no active luminophor. Only case: Nk 570-Rondane.
Brown front and brown back, or other non-luminous response: Ordinary paper, no brightener, no active luminophor. Examples: Issues before 1957
The code system is the same as in Denmark, as described above, with the exception that the ink is phosphorescent.

Further information on the Oslo installation is contained in an illustrated folder entitled " 40,000 brev i timen" 7 and in a recent article by Evensen. ${ }^{8}$

## Postal Automation in Sweden

Fluorscence was chosen, mainly because of the prospects of the conven. ience of adding the fluorochrome directly to the wet pulp in the manufacture of the paper. The first fluorescent Swedish stamp, Facit \#592-The Mountain, was issued in 1967, and most Swedish stamps issued thereafter are likewise fluorescent. There has evidently been much experimentation, however, since the stamps show many variations in fluorescence colors and shades when viewed under long-wave ultraviolet. In a cursory examination I have for example, noted the following typical responses:
Yellow front and yellow back: The usual response, signifying that the fluorochrome had been added directly to the wet paper pulp. Example: Facit \#592-The Mountain.
Yellow front and blue back: Fluorochrome coating on paper containing brightener. Example: Facit \#708-FN.
White to light blue front and blue back: Coating of chalk and fluorochrome on paper containing brightener. Examples: Facit \#733-Mail Coach and \#787-792-Gustaf VI.
Blue front and blue back: Paper containing brightener, no other fluorescence. Example: Facit \#652-Europa.
Brown front and brown back, or other non-luminous response: Ordinary paper, no fluorochrome, no brightener. Example: Facit \#621-Riksbank.
Short-wave ultraviolet may be employed if so desired, but the fluorescent color shades may not be quite the same as with the preferred long-wave ultraviolet.

Swedish stamp paper is ordinarily made at Klippans Finpappersbruk, but a special paper with a mixed chalk and fluorochrome coating produced by Harrison \& Sons in England, is occasionally used.

A facer-canceller based on fluorescence, from Standard Elektrik Lorentz, Berlin, was installed at Stockholm Ban post office. It was test-run in Jan. 1970 and came into regular use in March 1970. A similar installation is being considered for Marmö 1 post office. Stockholm Ban also has an automatic coding-sorting machine intended to be in use in March 1974. It was constructed by AEG-Telefunken for phosphorescent coding.

## Postal Autemation in Finland

The General Direction of Posts and Telegraphs in Finland ordered its first facer-canceller and sorting unit from AEG-Telefunken in Feb. 1973 for delivery early 1974 and installation in Tampere (Tammersfors), to work with phosphorescent stamps. For a period prior to 1972 a considerable
number of fluorescent stamps appeared, it is said in 5 varieties. ${ }^{9}$ Apparently this fluorescence was intended for brightening purposes only. A few stamps have since 1972 been issued on phosphorescent paper, ${ }^{10}$ so as to be on the market in time for the testing of the automatic equipment. The Church of Lammi (Facit \#574) was the first of these stamps. The coated paper for the phosphorescent stamps has so far been delivered from Borregaard, Norway.

## Contamination from Luminescent Stamps

The danger of contamination, when fluorescent and non-fluorescent stamps are allowed to come into contact, such as in the washing operation, has been pointed out by Plovst. ${ }^{11}$ Since the fluorochromes are present in highly viscous fluid form in the stamps, they easily rub off on other stamps and album pages, even under relatively dry conditions. Being water soluble, they also contaminate other stamps during the washing operation. We can distinguish between the following two general conditions:

1. Contact between fluorescent stamps and other collection material under relatively dry conditions. The fluorochrome bleeds off readily onto other stamps, album pages, plastic covers and interleaving, stock books, envelopes and the like. Fluorescent stamps should therefore be kept in separate albums, stock books, etc., safely away from non-fluorescent collection material. A!bum pages, interleaving, etc., used for fluorescent stamps, should not be reused for non-fluorescent material. This applies to the stamps of Denmark and Sweden, and also those of Germany and other countries employing fluorescent stamps. It thus becomes necessary to test all incoming stamp material under ultraviolet light, so that the fluorescent items can be kept separate both prior to and after washing.
2. Contact between fluorescent stamps and other stamps during the washing operation. The fluorochrome bleeds off readily onto the other stamps. It is theremore obvious that the fluorescent stamps should be washed separately from the non-fluorescent ones, to prevent contamination. I find the following washing procedure most practical for the separate washing of fluorescent and non-fluorescent stamps.

Cut around the stamps with scissors, leaving a paper margin of about 3 mm to minimize washing of useless paper. Place the stamps, face down, into as generous volume of lukewarm water. After a few minutes, remove the soakedoff paper, using a tweezer. This minimizes the discoloration of the water. When all paper has been removed, stir the stamps very gently to promota solution of the gum. It is important that substantially all of the gum be removed, as it may otherwise mislead the collector by its unwanted fluorescence, and for other reasons, but do not soak the stamps any longer than necessary. if the water shows more than a trace of yellowness, it should be drained off and replaced with fresh water. Using the fingers of one hand as a sieve, scoop up some $4-5$ stamps at a time, remove them with the tweezer, and place them face down, on "blotting paper" (paper towels or the like), gently pushing them flat against the paper to counteract the curling tendency. Drying stamps between blotters under pressure is not good practice, as it retards drying and may cause mildewing. Do not under any circumstance reuse the blotter for the drying of non-fluorescent stamps, but discard it after use. When the stamps are dry, place them in an envelope marked "Fluorescent" or "Non-Fluorescent" (as the case may be), where the stamps will lose most of their curl before album time. Discard the water after each washing operation. Much innocent-looking white paper may contain water-soluble brighteners, another possible source of contamination. Stamps on strongly colored
paper should, of course, be washed separately. Since the fluorescent stamps of various countries may not contain the same fluorochrome and show the same fluorescence color, it is obvious that the collector should not wash fluowescent stamps from different countries together, but rather handle the stamps of one country at a time.

Contaminated stamps usually show a blotchy distribution of low-grade fluorescence. They are neither genuinely fluorescent stamps, nor properiy non-fluorescent ones, but actually defective items. It is obvious that the conscientious collector should study carefully the problem of contamination and take all steps necessary to prevent such degradation of his stamps.

There is also a certain, although much smaller, danger of contamination with phosphorescent stamps. The phosphor on these stamps is present as a rather adherent layer of solid particles, usually not very prone to rubbing off. If contamination does occur, it may show up as a few tiny phosphorescent specks under ultraviolet light. The prudent collector will therefore, to be on the safe side, also keep phosphorescent stamps separate from the ordinary ones.

The introduction of luminescent stamps is a great step forward in postal history. While the collection and study of these stamps is philatelically fascinating, they also present serious complications in the mechanics of philatelv, as outlined above. These complications must be expected to increase in the future as luminescent stamps gradually become more numerous. The wise and conscientious collector will realize that this situation is here to stay, and that he will have to face it and revise his collecting manipulations accordinsly. He will also realize that the ultraviolet lamp has now become a very valuable and necessary accessory that he can ill afford to be without.

I am much indebted to the following persons, who have assisted me by providing information, or in other ways:
H. Stensrud, Postal Direction, Oslo, Norway.

Arne Almquist, General Directorate of Posts, Stockholm, Sweden.
Ib Eichner-Larsen, Berlingske Tidende, Copenhagen, Denmark.
Kauka Aro, New Jersay, U.S.A.; and
Arthur Lind, Gordon Nielsen and C. P. R. Dahlstrom, all of New York, U.S.A.
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## A '3' Is A '3' Is A. . . ?

## Thrilling Mystery from the Caribbean



Trompe l'oeil is the word learned art historians use for a flat image that is painted to look so three-dimensional as to deceive the eye-which is literally what trompe l'oeil means to our French cousins.

Here is a philatelic trompe l'oeil which is a little different.
It came to light when Victor Engstrom, the field-marshal of Danish West Indies philatelic research, recently marshaled his world-wide field of foot soldiers and sent out word that now was the time for all good men to have a look at their collections to see if they could find earlier cancellations of the various stamps of all issues than those so far recorded.

Out of an overseas collection in far-away Sweden came the report that the bi-colored 7 cent stamp had been found with a cancellation as puzzling as it was clear: May 12, 1873.

That the cancellation was clear enough from a look at the left-hand picture above. What made it puzzling, not only to the field marshal, but also to the humble minion who reported it, was that the 7 cent stamp according to all published information was not issued until more than a year later, in June 1874.

Could the " 3 " of the year possibly be an " 8 ", Engstrom (and the minion) wondered.

It could not. Not with the distinct knob on the botton: "horn" of the suspect figure and the more blurred suggestion of the same kind of end to the upper "horn." A comparison with an actual 1878 St. Thomas cancellation on another copy of the same stamp provided further visual proof, if anybody needed it.

So what was the solution? Have Hagemann and all the rest of the authorities been dead wrong all these years? Was the D.W.I. 7 cent bicolored stamp really in existence more than a year earlier than anybody knew?

For the solution of the riddle turn to page 51.
Sven Åhman

# DELAWARE CHAPTER 13 EIGHTH ANNUAL AUCTION 

GENERAL AND SPECIALIZED SCANDINAVIA

June 11, 1974
This sale has many very unusual and high quality lots. It is worth looking over carefully.

Usual auction rules prevail with lots sold to the highest bidder at a small advance over the second high bidder. All lots guaranteed genuine or as described. Condition is conservatively described and gum can be assumed unless otherwise stated.

Abbreviations: VF-well centered, fresh; F-perfs clear of design; VGsound stamp but perfs cut design; G-defective, usually described; $\mathbb{x}$-cover; NH—never hinged; LH-lightly hinged; $\star$-unused; O-used; E-est. net val.

1974 Facit numbers are used followed by the corresponding Scott numbers in ( ). Prices are Facit's converted to $\$$, at $5 \mathrm{Sw} . \mathrm{Kr} .=\$ 1$. Where other catalogs are used, this is indicated in the description.

Send mail bids to: J. R. Day, 8 Stones Throw Road, Wilmington, Delaware 19803. The sale will start at $8: 30$ p.m. Lots purchased, and a bill including postage charges, will be sent to mail bidders who are SCC members; cthers will be notified and lots mailed on receipt of payment. Checks or M.厅). should be payable to Chapt. 13, SCC. Prices realized can be obtained by sending a stamped envelope.

Lot Facit\# (Scott\#)
Facit (in \&)
DANISH WEST INDIES


## DENMARK

$5 \bigcirc 9$ (9) roulette, canc. 3-ring no. 181 ..... 4.00
$6 \star 41$ III (46a) inv. frame, $F$ ..... 5.00
$7 \star 42$ (47) 16 ö brown/grey, F-VF ..... $7.01)$
$8 \star 48,48 \mathrm{~V}(80,80 a)$ inv. frame in pair with nornıal, F ..... 28.60
$9 \star 63$ II (70) 5 ö inv. wmk. var., just fine copy ..... 2.40
$10 \star \bigcirc 78 \mathrm{III}, 115 \mathrm{I}(87,163$ vars) MA in Danmark joined, VF ..... 6.7)
$11 \bigcirc 79$ IV ( 88 var) AR in Danmark joined var., $\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{VF}$ copy ..... 3.00
$12 \star 177$ (138) 27 ö opt. wmk. crown, fresh and F-VF ..... 36.00
$13 \bigcirc 192$ (153) wmk mult. cross, fine used copy ..... 2.60
$14 \bigcirc 195$ I (159 var) white spot in N var. F ..... 15.09
$15 \bigcirc 211$ I (174 var) line in forehead var., $F$ ..... 10.09
$16 \bigcirc 216$ (C4) 50 ö airmail, canc. 25/1/33, F ..... 45.00
17 ○ 245 (B5) 25 ö semipostal, F ..... 13.60$18 \star 267,9(246,8)$ with NEPA (Horsens) privately printed labelse-tenant on gutter, plus news clipping8.09
$19 \bigcirc$ - fifty different "perfins" ..... E 7.50
$20 \star \bigcirc$ - Xmas seals, $1904-59$, incl. 9 cpl . sheets recent issues, sou-venir sheets, etc.E 25.j3
FINI,AND

|  |  | 259 (214) tercentenary Delaware colony, 1638, regis. FD cover <br> to USA plus cachet $\qquad$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22 |  | 36A (41) 20 penni with STOCKHOLM cancel _------------- E 5. 50 |
| 23 | $\star$ ○ | 140//401 (83//299) 1918-50 35 stamps, most commem. 22 ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ - 11.57 |
| 24 | * | 233//396 (B14//B101) 35 semi-post., most $\star$, many sets .--- 11.71 |
| 25 | * | $344,416,445,501,516,523,590$ P ( $265, \mathrm{C} 4-7, \mathrm{C} 9, \mathrm{~B} 111$ ) seven blocks, all $\star$ exe No. 416 (B111) w. Olympic cane. $\qquad$ 33.80 |
| 26 | $\bigcirc$ | A2 (N2) Aunus, uncommon used, VF _--------------------1.90 |
| 27 | - | O1-7 (N1-7) Östkarelen/Eastern Karelia, mostly F-VF |
| 28 |  | Issues of Finland, by Carl Pelander, 1940 |

GREENLAND
$29 \star$ 10-14 (8-12) short set, VF ..... 25.00
$30 \star 19$ (17) overprint, 1 ö, VF ..... 7.00
$31 ~ \star ~ 21$ (19) same, 7 ö, VF ..... 7.00
$32 \bigcirc 35,44,52,56,58(31 \mathrm{~A}, 34,48,51,53) 7$ stamps on pieces, cancGodthab, Narssarssuaq, Umanak (2), JulianehaabE 3.00
$33 \star 38$ (37) 60 ö overprint, VF ..... 8.00
ICELAND
34 ○ 8 IV (15 var) "double 3" var., F ..... 40.50
35 ○ 21 (22) 3 aur, F ..... 4.50
36 ○ 22 (23) 4 aur, F ..... 4.40
$37 \star 76$ III (71 var) broken frame var., no gum, F ..... 13.00
38 ○ 98 (130) lt. cancel, centered, VF ..... 7.:10
39 ○ 101 (137) 30 aur opt., F ..... 4.60
$40 \bigcirc 110$ I, 116 II $(88,94)$ inverted wmks, F ..... 6.30
41 ○ 117 (95) 50 aur Fred. VIII, VF ..... 6.50
$42 \bigcirc 118$ (96) same, 1 Kr . yellow, VF ..... 8.00
$43 \bigcirc 118$ (96) another copy, $1 \mathrm{Kr} ., \mathrm{F}$ ..... 8.00
44 ○ 123 II ( 141 rev. ) Tollur revenue cancel, F-VF ..... 20.60
45 ○ 129-142 (113-126) complete through $1 \mathrm{Kr} ., \mathrm{F}$ ave. ..... 18.17
159 (150) EIN KRONA opt., F ..... 4.00
$47 \bigcirc 169$ III (145 var) hook on M var., F ..... 3.00
48 188 (C3) part of sheet margin attached, F ..... 9.09
49 204-9 (C15-20) complete set, VF ..... 14.00
50 221-3 (B5) souv. sheet, minor gum crease UR, F, NH ..... 35.0)
$51 \bigcirc 242,251,260,282,284,296,302,306,314,378$ (219, 227,$229,247,249,256,265,268,328$, C29) 10 used blocks, F ave. 10.40
$52 \star 245 \mathrm{~A}$ (221) 10 aur green, perf $14 \times 131 / 2, \mathrm{~F}$ ..... 6.00
53 248 A (224a) 25 aur red, perf $14 \times 131 / 2$, F-VF ..... 9.J0
54 265-7 (237-9) cpl. set, VF ..... 4.20
$55 \bigcirc 311,353(273,305) 25 \mathrm{Kr}$. vals., 2 VF used copies ..... 5.10
厄 321-5 (278-82) Manuscript issue cpl., VF NH ..... 6.00
57 350-2 (302-4) Vatnajökull Expedition cover, unnumbered, registered to USA 15/VI/1959 ..... E 10.00
58 361-2 (313-4) 40th anniv. Icel. flag, VF LH ..... 3.80
59 Tj 3 (03) 4 sk. green, 1873, F, no gum ..... 25.00
60 Tj4a,b (O4) 3 aur, both printings, F-VF ..... 31.00
61 Tj59 (O53) 3 aur Millenary issue, Thingvellir canc., VF ..... 10.00
62 Tj60 (O54) same, 5 aur value, F-VF ..... 10.00
63 Tj61 (O55) same but Reykjavik canc., 7 aur val., VF ..... 8.00
64 ○ Tj63 (O57) same, 15 a val., faint blue canc., F-VF ..... 8.00
65 ○ Tj65 (O59) same, 25 aur, Thingvellir canc., F-VF ..... 10.09
66 O Tj68 (O62) same, 40 aur, faint ironed-out creases ..... 8.00
67 Tj68 (O62) same, 40 aur, VF ..... 8.00
Cancellation Lots (Letters in parentheses do NOT appear on stamp)
68 ○ 54 (55) (HRA) UNG(ERDI) provincial cancel, stamp VF, cat. $\$ 7.00$, PM in Islenzk Frimerki cat. $\$ 17.00$ ..... 24.30
69 ○ 171 (147) clear (B)ERGEN cde, VF ..... E 3.50
70 ○ 79 (74) light EDINB(URGH) cde, F ..... E 2.50
71 ○ 65 (36) clear (ED)INBURG(H) cdc, VF ..... E 3.50
72 ○ 81 (76) str. line FRA ISLAND, cpl. strike on pair ..... E 10.60
$73 \bigcirc 26$ (26) clear (FRA IS)LAND ..... E 3.00
74 ○ 27 (27) clear (FRA I)SLAND on 16 aur brn., stamp F, cat. 13.00
$75 \bigcirc 76,8(71,3)$ A ISLA and FRA on two stamps, last thin ..... E 3.00
76 ○ 115 (93) clear FRA IS(LAND), VF ..... E 4.00
77 ○ 170 (146) numeral cancel No. 22, VF strike ..... 18.00
78 ○ 81 (76) numeral cancel No. 98, clear strike ..... 4.00
$79 \bigcirc 65,7(36,8)$ numeral cancels No. 105, 115, clear strikes ..... 3.40
80 ○ 132 (116) numeral cancel No. 177 on pair, alm. cpl. strike ..... 3.00
$81 \bigcirc 67,81,169,170(38,76,145,146) 7$ diff. num. cancels, Nos. 8, 42, 52, 59, 95, 107, 110 all clear strikes ..... 5.60
$82 \mathbb{\boxtimes}$ Air-letter No. 2, 1950, FDC flown to USA ..... 7.00
The following lots of postal stationery are listed by Scherer's detailed catalogin () after the Facit number. Estimates are net, however, based on auctionrealizations. They are NOT reserves.
83 1 (PC1a) postcard, frm. ornament inv., VF ..... E 4.90
84 2 (PC2a) postcard, first ptg., small stains ..... E 3.00
85 2 (PC2b) postcard, second ptg., F ..... E 4.03
86 2 (PC2c) postcard, third ptg., prtd adv. on face and back, VF ..... E 4.088
12 I (24b TyIb) I GILDI postcard, VF ..... E 10.00
89 12 I (24b TyIb) another similar lot, F ..... E 9.00
90 12 II (24b Ty IIe) I GILDI PC, prtd adv on back, VF ..... E 10.00
91 12 II (24b Ty IIe) another similar lot, F ..... E 9.00
92 17 (25b) postcard, 3 aur, used in Reykjavik, F ..... E 5.00
93 1 (4) double card, $5 a+5 a$, sl. aging, F ..... E 4.00
94 ..... 95 ..... E 4.03

* 2 (5a) double card, 8a+8a, sl. aging, $F$ ..... E 3.00
97 4 (9) double card, $5 \mathrm{a}+5 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{F}$-VF ..... E 4.00
90 5 (10a) double card, 8a+8a, some tiny stains ye: F ..... E 4.00
99 6 (11a) double card, $10 a+10 a$, VF ..... E 4.50
100 19 (40) double card, $8 a+8 a$, VF ..... E 2.00
101 20 (41) double card, 10a+10a, VF ..... E 8.00
102 11 (10b3) letter card, 20a, inv. wmk., sl aging, F ..... E 12.00


## NORWAY

|  | 20 (20) |
| :---: | :---: |
| 104 | O 100//121 (74//95) posthorns, 16 diff used blocks, F ave. .- 10. |
| 105 |  |
| 106 | $\star 120$ (94) 50 ö lilac brown, fresh, VF |
| 107 | ^ 156 (109) 20 ö Amundsen, VF, lightly hing |
| 108 | $\star$ 426-8 (340-2) cpl. set Norwex, NH, VF |
| 109 | - 345//653 (272//570) 1945-71, 66 diff. used commems, F-VF -- 6.5 |
| 110 | $\boxtimes$ Alxy Lottery stamp (series B), 10/8/64, on cv., VF _------17.00 |
|  | O Tj14 (014) 20 ö red, 4 ring numeral canc. No. 656, stamp G E 5.0 |

## SWEDEN

|  | 12 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 113 | - 67 (66) 1903, |
|  | ○ 67 (66) a |
|  | 139 V (115 var) plate marking dot in well centered but stamp has crease, |
| 116 | * 162 (148) 60 ö perf 10 coil, |
|  | 171 (156) 120 ö bl |
|  | 176C (191) 15 ö Gustaf V, perf 10 all around. VF |
|  | 183a (175) 25 ö Gustaf V, peri 10 coil, LH, F VF |
| 120 | * 83 H 10 (81a) Cpl. booklet, 2 panes, gum crea |
| 121 | * 187a (181) 35 ö Gustaf |
| 122 | * 195 (188) 145 ö Gustaf V, |
| 123 | O 197ex (212) 10 ö Congress, wmk lines, 1924 cancel, VF centering, usual rough perfs $\qquad$ |
| 124 | $\star$ 240-45 (239-47) 500th Ann. Parliament, cpl. set (9), F-VF - 47.00 |
|  | $\bigcirc 306$ (328) 120 ö St. Bridget, VF |
| 126 | $\star$ 323A, B, 324A, B, 325-7 (351-7) 1944 |
| 127 | Four cpl. sets, Press, Tegner, UPU, Lenngren (1945-5 all LH or NH, one stamp (cat. 12e) thin. Mostly VF |
|  | $\star 335 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~B}, 336 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~B}, 337$ (369-73) Lund Cathedral set, the 90 ö value is "paste-up" single, NH, VF $\qquad$ 3. |
|  | 45A, B, 436A, B, 437, 433-4 (492-3, 494-8) Nordic and Rail Centenary sets, lightly hinged, VF $\qquad$ |
| 130 | * 226-30 (B32-36) 70th birthday, Gusta |
| 131 | Tj18 (O19) 133 stamps on sheet showing minor, unlisted plate vars., interesting study, cat. $\$ 5.20$ $\qquad$ E 4.0 |
| 132 | O Cancel accumulation, approx 115 stamps, includes types of 19th Cent. towns, railroads, sea post, Fra Sverige $\qquad$ E 5.0 |
|  | Common Sweden stamps, 1560 stamps off paper, 1891-1961, 14 vars., useful for study, cancels, etc. $\qquad$ E |

## CABOOSE

$134 \star \bigcirc$ Misc. lot in envelope, several hundreds all Scandinavia, cpl and part sets, covers, cancels, booklets, etc., a fine and useful lot E 60.90

## END OF SALE - THANK YOU

Send bids in on any piece of paper, and be sure to list Lot No. and Bid, and name and address, and if SCC member, your number. Please type or print clearly.

## Where Are These Covers?

By George W. Sickels, SCC \#1545



The covers referred to in the title would be mail sent by Norwegian troops stationed in Iceland during World War II. That Norwegian troops were stationed in Iceland is a fact of personal knowledge. As a student of the APO 860, Military Tactical School in Reykjavik during 1943, I shared classes and many leisure hours with one Sgt. Tor Hellisen of the Royal Norwegian Forces. A registered letter of inquiry to the sergeant about such mail unfortunately was returned whereabouts unknown.

In addition to the personal contact, I have in my possession three pieces of documentary evidence of Norwegian Troops as well:

1. There was an informational booklet printed by the U. S. Iceland Base Command in Reykjavik in December 1942 which mentions the Norwegian troops.
2. I have a Military Christmas Card from Iceland which has the insignia of Norway as well as those of the United States and Great Britain. The card was distributed in 1942.
3. I have a 1972 pamphlet written in Norwegian by Postmaster Hilmar Eriksen, who was postmaster of the Norwegian Field Post in Great Britain during WW II. This pamphlet recognizes the existence of "norske marine depoter i Reykjavik . . ." among other locations.

Also, in searching U. S. Unit histories, I found that the 118th Infantry, stationed in Akureyri (APO 612), conducted a Ski School with Norwegian Axmy Instructors.

Wherever troops are stationed, troops write letters. (An obvious fact), so mail must exist from Norwegian servicemen written from Iceland during



WW II. Now the problem is where to find them!!! Winat are the possibilities of postal procedures? I hypothesize the following four:

1. There was an actual Norwegian Field Postoffice operating in Reykjavik.
2. Mail was processed through Norwegian FPO's in Great Britain and cancelled there after being carried on a Norwegian or British ship. Tracing couid be difficult, perhaps in the return address, although wartime could obscure this as well, and then only if the letter reveals the source. I suppose covers could be traced through Norwegian Unit histocies, although I find that difficult for United States Army units from my own experience. With this procedure, covers could be cancelled possibly with a Norwegian Ship Cancel, also discussed and listed in Eriksen's booklet. This method is a logical application of military chain of command.
3. Mail was pzocessed through APO's or FPO's of a superior force, either US or GB origin. It seems more likely to be the latter based on information from the Eriksen pamphlet which cites a letter from British Forces io the Norwegians outlining such a plan for a particular combined forces maneuver to be staged in Great Britain.
4. Mail was sent directly through Icelandic civilian post subject to mil itary censorship at the country of transit or destination. This is least likely, because it is highly unorthodox military procedure. However, I cannot rule it out because I have a Norwegian Ship Cover in my "ollection franked with Norwegian stamps, cancelled with the numbered ship cancellation addressed to the U.S.A. with a Reykjavik transit back cancel (Swiss type) dated January 31, 1945, with a US censor marking.

Additional note: Numbers 1 and 2 above might appear identical on the covers except for what stamps might be affixed. Illustrations pictured in Eriksen's booklet show the Norwegian FPO cancellation on stamps of Great Britain, and all illustrated Norwegian FPO's seem simila: without any identifying markings.

So much for my search and research. I don't think there is any question that such material belongs in a WW II Icelandic Military Post Collection if it can be detected. So I repeat: WHERE ARE THESE COVERS?

## International Reply Cards

By Frederick A. Brofos (H-11)


A rare forerunner. Norwegian inland reply card used from London, England, June 18, 1883.
The Universal Postal Union Conference held at Lisbon, Portugal in March 1885, laid dow: the rules and adopted the regulations moviding for the exchange of reply postal cards among U.P.U. member countries. Previousi\%, reply cards for inland use had proven successful in many countries and the general idea was to extend their use into the international mails.

The international postal card with paid reply consistec of two cards joinod together-one for the original message, the other for the reply. Each hair was to be franked by adhesive or imprinted stamps of the nation of origin and at the U.P.U. postal card rate. The originating country was to reta!n the full postage value of both halves. The return of such cards was obligatory. However, the issuance of reply cards was not made obligatory until the U.P.U. Convention held at Berne, Switzerland, in 1891.

Although the various member nations gradually issued international repiy cards, the idea never became popular with the general public and the cards saw little use. This was partly due to poor publicity by the post office. Most people simply didn't know the cards existed.

Fortunately, wide-awake philatelists have from time to time seen th possibilities contained in the little-known regulations of the Universal Posta? Union. In fact, some things can be done legally in the international mails which are prohibited in our domestic mails. For instance, foreign stamps are not valid for postage on mail sent internally within the United States or on mail sent from here to a foreign country. There is one exception, however, and that is when the foreign stamps are used on these international paid reply cards.
U.P.U. reply cards have, of course, been a great boon for the postmark enthusiast. Not only do they furnish a means of securing legitimate U.S. postmarks on foreign stamps, but they also permit legitimate foreign posimarks to be applied to stamps of the United States.
U.P.U. regulations required that reply cards could only be returned n the country of their origin. For example, one couldn't send the reply half of a French card to any country other than France. Furthermore, all cards had


Swedish card used from Copenhagen, 1909. Norwegian card used from Copenhagen, 1887. U.S. card used from Oslo, 1957.
to have the wording in French in addition to the native language. On the message card: "Carte Postale avec Réponse Payee" (Postal card with paid reply). On the reply cards "Carte Postale Réponse" (Reply postal card).

In spite of all rules being complied with, many cards were returned io their senders marked "Postage due." This was owing to the fact that many postmasters did not know the extent of the U.P.U. regulations and didn't bother to look them up for the sake of an occasional card.

International reply cards have been used even less in the United States. than in Europe and most small U.S. post offices didn't stock them at all.

The first 2 cent plus 2 cent reply card was issued by the U.S. on March 1, 1893. The original printing of less than two million cards lasted for more than thirty years! The 2 cent U.P.U. postcard rate continued urtil October 1925, when it was raised to 3 cents. It was further raised to 4 cents in 1953, to 5 cents in 1958, to 7 cents in 1961, to 8 cents in 1967 and to 10 cents in 1971.

Quite a bizarre-looking collection could be formed of these cards showing stamps of one country legitimately cancelled in another country. They ail make interesting collectors items and many of those used before the turn of the century have a considerable value.

Unfortunately for the postmark collector no more of these cards can be produced, as they have now been discontinued all over the world. At the XVIth Universal Postal Congress of the U.P.U. held at Tokyo in November 1969, it was decided among other things to delete from Article 16 of the Convention the category "Postcard with paid reply," due to lack of usage. The
last day of use of international reply cards was June $\delta 0$, 1971, as the new regulations became effective on July 1st of that year.


French card used from Bergen, Norway, 1896. Danish card used from Troms:, Norway, 1885. Norwegian card used from Germany, 1938.


Norwegian card used in U.S. on Last Day of Use.

# Swedish Traveling Post Office Cancellations 

An initial Study of Railroad Cancellations Within the T. P. O. Category

By Richard S. Wahlberg (SCC 694)

(Preface: This is a report on "research" in progress. It cannot be termed a definitive write-up-not only because space does not allow, but because much data has yet to be found. In fact, one "mystery" weaves through the entire story. This is written for the novice cancellation collector by a novice and you will see how many "giants" of SCC and others in the philatelic fraternity have helped to turn this study into an adventure. Any comments, corrections and additional information from Posthorn readers will be sincerely appreciateil by the author.)

It all started with a visit to Vic Engstrom about two years ago. While viewing his marvelous collection of Sweden, he mentioned Swedish Traveling Fost Office cancellations. T.P.O.'s. Stamps cancelled aboari railroad trains, coastal ships, old-time mail coaches and modern-day mail buses.

Every collector of Swedish stamps has early issues bearing cancellations such as PKXP Nr. 2 or recent issues reading PKP No. 422 or other letters and numbers. They are quite common. But, a whole saga began for me when I asked what route does each number represent?

My first inquiry was to Bob Booman, our SCC Librarian. Bob referred me to pages from the Swedish Handbook of Cancellations. Of its 396 pages, forty-four contain details about railroad cancellations: 7 basic types and i0 sub-types of Järnvägspost (railway coach mail) cancels. Each circular cancellation is shown in exact size, each type face is identified and dates are given for each route's first use. But, the actual routes? Only a relatively few are identified. Before pursuing this mystery, let's examine some of the basic types of cancellations.

The first railroad cancellation appeared in 1862. (Type 1) and was used to cancel mail from Stockholm to Göteborg. (Vestra stam Banam=Western Main Line). Two years later (fig. 1) a second line cancelled mail aboard its coaches (Södra stam Banan=Southern Main Line).

From 1882 to the early 1900's no fewer than 364 routes cancelled their mail with postmarks which showed the names of the connecting towns. (Fig. 2). The Handbook includes these within the Type 1 classification and no research is needed except to FIND stamps and covers which traveled from Askers to Lerbäck or Eskilstuna to Flen or Falun to Orso-just to name a few.

The second type (fig. 3) was employed on board about thirty-four different railroads from 1866 to 1891 . In these cases the railroad's initials appear in the cancellation. In the example shown, G.D.J. stands for GefleDala Järnvägen (Railway; literally, ironway). Otber mitials, for example, were N.S.B. for Norra stambanen (trunk line) and O.H. for Örebro-Hallsberg. These are fairly common-particularly on the 12 ore Coat of Arms stamp. Harry Wendberg's catalog of 1948 lists 14 of these railroad's initials cancellations and gives their relative value at that time.

Type 3 introduces the famous PKXP numbers (fig. 4). There are twelve different sub-types of the PKXP (Postkupeexpeditioner) cancellations whi.gh were used from 1868 to the early 1920 's. The Hardbook lists 114 such routes, from No. 1 to No. 114, inclusively. But, only eleven have their routes identified: PKXP No. 1 to No. 7 , No. 9 to No. 12. A few examples are: PKXP No. $1=$ Stockholm-Göteborg; PKXP No. $2=$ Falköping-Malmö; PKXP No. $3=$ Stock-holm-Uppsala; PKXP No. 5=Hallsberg-Göteborg; PKXP No. $7=$ Laxä-Karl-

stad; and PKXP No. $12=$ Eslöf-Ystad. The principal mystery of all Swedish T.P.O.'s is the identification of the route for PKXP No. 8 and from PKXP No. 13 to PKXP No. 114! From the Handbook we know the first year of use for each route and even the type of cancellation, but the towns so connected by these railroad routes are not yet known.

The use of PLK numbers (Postiljonskupeexpeditioner) started in 1900. These cancellations (fig. 5) were applied to mail handled by coach and marl bus routes throughout Sweden up until the late 1930's. The numbers run from No. 118 to No. 452.

The more modern PKP cancellations (fig. 6), classified as Type 5 which includes twelve sub-types, were introduced in 1914. These numbers run from No. 1 to No. 452 and many are in use today. Is it simply a coincidence that, according to the Handbook, both PLK and PKP numbers go up to and stop at No. 452? This is the type of question which remained unanswered.

My search continued and the SCC Library next produced photocopies of two articles which appeared in STAMPS magazine in 1949. These articles, published three months apart, read like a debate. The first author cited as his principal reference, "Forteckningar över Sveriges Postanstalter" by Astlev Levin. Apparently this book contains much the same data as the Cancellation Handbook. Descriptions of the various cancellations appeared in the first article, but the author wondered the meaning of 'UPP" and "NED" as suffix to many PKXP numbers. The second author explained their meaning as " $\mathrm{U}_{p}$ " and "Down" along the same route. He also gave severai examples to better describe the first author's material. But, did either author know the illusive routes represented by each PKXP number? No. But, one asked those readors of twenty-five years ago if such a list was ever compiled.

Eric Kindquist, our SCC area specialist for Sweden, suggested that the Postal Museum in Stockholm might help me find data about the various PKXP routes. Unfortunately, no response. I also wrote to several U. S. philatelic publishers. Again, no luck.

Bob Booman, SCC Librarian, then provided me with another lead: an article from a 1964 issue of THE SCANDINAVIAN CONTACT, published in England. This and subsequent issues listed numerous PKP numbers and their actual roites, by year. From this material we lea:n that the Swedish Post Office annually issues a list of the PKP routes in use. Interestingly, some routes are used and identified by PKP number for one year, but not necessarily the next. Some routes, too, are open only during the summer months.

Correspondence with Martin Böstrom of Örebro, Sweden gave me proof that the Post Office publishes its route list each year . . . he sent me a $19 \% 5$ newspaper advertisement which featured a map of Sweden and showed each : ailroad postal route and its number. Mr. Böstrom and I now have inquiries about the "missing" PKXP routes lodged throughout Sweden. We hope the Postal Archives of the General Post Office in Stockholm may provide more, information.


Russell Christenson (SCC 813) suggested that I contact Capt. K. Jahr of Dumfries, Scotland, publisher of THE SCANDINAVIAN NEWSLETTER. Result: more lists of PKP and PLK numbers and their routes. Capt. Jahr's data showed some numbers, in use in January 1970, as high as No. 953 (Sunds-vall-Stockholm). The NEWSLETTER also contained ainouncements of the discontinuance of certain lines. For instance, Nos. 221, 223 and 232 were withdrawn on June 1, 1969.

In POLAR POST Bertil Ilhage of Sweden wrote about Railroad Post Offices in Arctic Sweden. He identified the routes PKP 53 (Boden to Kiruna) and PKP 308 (Boden to Gällivare) and listed the five route numbers used on trips from Stockholm, past Polcirklen, to Kiruna. Mr. Ilhage alsc explained the code letters (A, B, C, D) which follow these and other PKP numbers as being the codes assigned to the carriage supervisors, known as "compartment masters."

From these various references one can probably complete lists, by year, of all PKP numbers and their routes and perhaps most of the PLK numbered routes. The question remains, however-where are the lists of the PKXP zoutes of the past? Did the Post Office issue PKXP route lists from 1868 to 1925 or so? They must have. It seems strange that T.P.O. collectors in Sweden and England, where this philatelic side-line is more popular than in the U. S., have not identified more than eleven of the 114 PKXP routes. A reader might speculate that the recent vintage PKP numbered routes were "created" from the first 114 PKXP numbers. This seems unlikely as a 1963 route list shows PKP No. 1=Stockholm-Nassjo; PKP No. $2=$ Nassjo-Malmö; PKP No. $5=$ Orebro-Stockholm. Not one of the first PKXP routes shown in the Handbook coincides with these current PKP routes.

Perhaps as my search continues, this report will eventually be termed the "first installment" of the PKXP mystery and a second installment will have all the answers. In the meantime, while searching, I uncovered information about other T.P.O. cancellations such as $\AA$ BXP numbers (angbats), FKMP and FKMB routes. But, those are other stories best written by someone who has done his research-unlike the author who is still searching.

$$
{ }^{*} S^{*} C^{*} C^{*}
$$

March 8, 1974
Dear Bob,
I refer to George Sickles letter to you published in the February POSTHORN, about a "thin" paper postal card of Iceland. Perhaps the following will be of interest.

Between 1888 and 1901, Denmark printed for the Danish West Indies the set of Postal Stationery single cards known as the "Five Line Text," in five separate printings.

Some of the card stock used for this issue will separate into 3 or 4 sheets of paper if subjected to extreme moisture or soaking. I state this on page 23 of my published work DANISH WEST INDIES POSTAL STATIONERY.

I have an example of this condition with a partial delamination into 3 sheets at one edge of the card, while the other edge is intact. The center sheet is unglazed, as is the inner surfaces of the outer sheets.

It seems to me that the same card stock could have been used for the Iceland card in question.

Sincerely, Victor E. Engstrom<br>* S * C C *

## The Caribbean Mystery Solved



Here is the solution to the mystery of the D.W.I. 7 cent bicolored stamp presented on page 42.

The year shown in the St. Thomas cancel is NOT 1878, as conclusively proved by the true cancellation of 1878 published beside it.

But it is not 1873 either. It is $\mathbf{1 8 7 9}$. The truth becomes apparent if one places the stamp against a black background, as the overseas minion turned Sherlock finally did. Then it became evident that the illusion of a " 3 " in the year was created by a truly cunning accident, as one would call it if accidents could be cunning: the final " 9 " in the year had been placed exactly across one of the perforation indentures in such a way as to transform it into \& " 3 " to any but the most suspicious eye.

Moral: be suspicious of early cancels.
Sven Åhman
(minion)

## I GILDI FORGERIES



Mr. Robert A. Helm
660 Marion Drive
East Meadow, N. Y. 11554

## Dear Mr. Helm,

Maybe it will be of interest to the readers of The Posthorn to learn about forgeries of Iceland I GILDI overprints, which in my opinion are hitherto unrecorded.

I therefore enclose a photo of the 6 Aurar, perf. $14 \times 131 / 2$ black overprint, and the 5 Aurar, perf. $123 / 4$, black overprint, Facit \#s 38 A and 51 respectively. Both of them are rather easily detected as fakes from the position of the second apostrophe. The letters and digits differ distinctly from the originals. May I remind that of the 6 Aurar only one genuine copy is recorded?

Please let me know if you are interested in similar material from Denmark and Iceland. I used to inform Vic Engstrom about the forgeries of DVI.

Truly yours, Arno Debo
Ed. Note: All of this material is gratefully accepted.-RAH

## SCANDINAVIA Large Stock - Moderate Prices

Strong in 19th Century, including the better items; Covers, Postal Stationery, Cancels, Errors, Proofs, Varieties, etc. Please call for an appointment before coming.

HENRIK POLLAK
55 West 42nd Street
New York, N. Y. 10036
Phone (212) OX-5-1348
APS SPA SCC ASDA

## NEW MEMBERS

1822 CARLZON, Robert V., C. P. O. Box 276, 16 Charlotte St., Kingston, NY
Scandinavia used and covers
1823 KUST, Edward N., 51 C Star Route, Leonardtown, MD 20650
Norway, worldwide
by F. H. Bloedow L-24
1824 SMITH, Jay, 2114 Van Hise Ave., Madison, WI 53705 Sweden
1825 WARD, Howard E., 18802 S. Springfield, Flossmoer, IL 60422
Scandinavia, Canada, U.S., U.N. b; F. H. Bloedow L-2.
1826 CONELLY, Patrick Lyon, 991 Massachusetts Ave., \#19, Cambridge, MA 02138 - Scandinavia by G. M. Knudsen \#840
1827 DENNIS, Robert L., 14144 Beatrice Ave., Livonia, MI 48154 by K. L. Brown \#632
1828 FLEMING, Lawrence V., 1454 Woodward Ave., Lakewood, OH 44107 General Polar by F. E. Shaug \#L-22
1829 HARRIS, Richard L., Prof., English Dept., University of Saskatchewan, Saskatoon, Saskatchewan, Canada S7N OWO by R. P. Stevens \#236 Iceland, Sweden, Finland
1830 LARMAY, Joseph E., 48 48th Ave., Bellwood, IL 60104 Finland, Iceland, Norway, Sweden, U.S. by F. H. Bloedow \#L-24
1831 LAWRENCE, Colin R., 19 Williams Road, Bundaberg, Queensland, 4670, Australia - Sweden by F H. Bloedow \#L-24
1832 MOORE, Willis M., III, M-2 Inverness Circle, New Castle, DE 19720 Danish West Indies by $\begin{aligned} & \text { V E. Engstrom \#911 }\end{aligned}$
1833 van ALLER, Holger H., Rt. 1 Box 153A, Greenwich, NY 12834 U.S., Danish West Indies, Scandinavia by F. E. Shaug \#L-z2

1834 COFFIN, Willard D., 71 Boardman Rd., Poughkeepsie, NY 12603 Scandinavia, U.S. Poss., Netherlands by D. F. Halpern \#1201
1835 DAVIS, Larry R., 19887 Lindenbrook Lane, Cupertino, CA 95014 Iceland by F' H. Bloedow \#L-?4
1836 HEINS, Albert E., 1618 Shadford Road, Ann Arbor, MI 48104 Scandinavia, Western Europe by J. F. Frye \#1081
1837 HENNIG, Bernard A., 5944 W. Montrose Ave., Chicago, IL 60634 Iceland by F. H. Bloedow \#L-24
1838 JOHNSON, Richard L., 249 Haverhill St., North Reading, MA 01864 Scandinavia, U.S.
by D. F. Halpern \#1201
1839 MURRAY, Gordon, 3534 N. Leavitt St., Chicago, IL 60618 U.S., U.N., worldwide by F. H. Bloedow, \#L-24

1840 OLESKE, George F., So. 8176 Irish Road, Colden, NY 14033 Coins, Amer 1st issues, historical stamps by F. H. Bloedow, \#L-2. 4
1841 OWENS, Mrs. Mary Ann, P. O. Box 191, (418 West Park Ave.), Waukzsha, WI 53186 - Danish and DWI postal cards by J. T. DeVoss 1769
1842 PETERSON, A. Delbert, Rt. 2, Box 358W, Forest Grove, OR 97116 Modern Scandinavia by C. A. Whittlesey \#645
1843 POYHONEN, Walter T., 921 N. Vernon, Dearborn, MI 48128 Finland, U.S., Souvenir sheets by S. W. Ting \#1811
1844 WARREN, Lorraine, P. O. Box 17124, Philadelphia, PA 19105 by A. Warren \#1087
1845 WORSLEY, Susan, 71 Castelnau, Barnes, London S.W. 13 9RT, England Faeroe Islands, Greenland, Spitsbergen, Norway by F. E. Shaug \#L-22

## REINSTATED

ZIERAU, Niels, 247 Cambridge Ave., Englewood, NJ 07631
DALLOF, Herman, 4307 Dragonwick Drive, Houston, TX 77045

## ADDRESS CHANGES AND CORRECTIONS

L-22
1520
1633
557
1361
1736
1472
1579
1344
1487
1664

## 888

L-12
680
933
1055
1326
1368
1385
1500
1556
1793
1553
1336
1066
1229
1762
1145
1547
1559
802
1313
1581
1446
1601
1366
1594
1288

HELM, Robert A., 349 Sound Beach Ave., Old Greenwich, CT 06870 STAIGER, Martin, 312 Bertwick Lane, Chesapeaka, VA 23325 NORDIN, Mrs. Esther, Box 963, Bellingham, WA 98225 PETERS, Dr. John H., Molly Stark Hospital, Box 9122, Canton, OH 4471 i GUMBINER, Marshall, 1030 North Fairfax Ave., Los Angeles, CA 90045 MERIKALLIO, Reino A., 388 West Road, New Canaan, CT 06840 JANUZ, Lauren R., 1370 Longwood Road, Lake Forest, IL 60045 WILLIAMSON, Harold, 131 S. Mt. Vernon Dr., Iowa City, IA 52240 ROED, Botha de Wett, 5612 California Ave., S. W, Seattle, WA 98136 ROED, Botha de Wett, Mrs., 5612 Calif. Ave., S. W., Seattle, WA 98136 STRASSBURGER, Eugen B., Jr., 114 Aylesboro Lane, Pittsburgh, PA 15217
KROMANN, Carl-Johan, Ostervej, Nordby, 6720 Fano, Denmark 522 OLAUSEN, Fredrik G., 1043 Marlbrook Dr., Baton Rouge, LA 70815 KIRCHMAN, Frank J., 1170 Bellaire St., Denver, CO 80220 TORKILDSEN, Lars A., Alle Ct. 19, Flekkefjord, 4400 Norway WILLIAMS, Wilho E., E. 2331 34th, Spokane, WA 99203 BIRDSALL, George G., 47 Stocker Rd., Essex Fells, NJ 07021 OFFNER, William B., $14231 / 2$ E. 52nd St., Los Angeles, CA 90011 DUNCANSON, W. W., Grafton, VT 05146
MacNEIL, Roy, 10738 84th Ave., Edmonton, Alberta, T6E 2HF Canada BJARINGER, Tomas, 225 Avenue Winston Churchill, Brussels, Belgium SHUCK, Thomas L., 2480 S. E. Ryan St., Corvallis, OR 97330 ARCARIO, Joseph, Jr., 8400 Shore Front Pkwy., Apt. 5N, Rockaway Beach, NY 11693
JOHNSON, Walter O., 10 Laurel St., Arlington, MA 02174
CRUMPTON, Bruce D., Rt. 7, Box 415, Plant City, FL 33566
MacLATCHY, Joan, 4980 Laurentian Drive, Prince George, B. C. Canada V2N 1Z3
WYMAN, William N., 1421 Cambridge Pl., Apt. 13, Manhattan, KS 66502 GEIERSBACH, Allois, P. O. Box 2643, Milwaukee, WI 53214 TATHAM, William C., P. O. Box 968, Whittier, CA 90601 GRIMSTAD, O. B., 901 S. W. 17th St., Boynton Beach, FL 33435 LARSON, Glen D., 4841 N. 67th St., Milwaukee, WI 53218 NILON, Nils J. S., 25 North Pine Circle, Belleair, FL 33516 WYNN, W. T., 1603 West Dengar, Midland, TX 79701 BASURTO, Alfredo V., 42 Shipley Ave., Daly City, CA 94015 OLSON, Thomas F., 4 Woodmont Court, Berkeley, CA 94708 LANDE, Orville K., Space 9, 1527 S. Standard, Santa Ana, CA 92707 ELLICOTT, Richard W., Hamilton Drawer A, Baltimore, MD 21214 LINDSAY, Kenneth E., 4912 Baffin Bay Lane, Rockville, MD 20853 DAUBERT, Wm. A., Box 56, R. Drive Pk., Ontario LOG 1SO, Canada

## RESIGNED

## A Swedish 'Tea Party'?

By Sven Åhman (SCC \#936)

The Swedish parliament has put an end to a measure that was well on its way to finishing all stamp swapping by collectors with their colleagucs abroad. After prolonged pressure by stamp collectors and dealers, a law was passed abolishing the inordinately heavy state sales tax- 17.65 per cent, no less-on most philatelic items.

Until the stamp tax was lifted, customs authorities could, and in many cases did, stop parcels and registered letters from abroad, exacting sales tax at 17.65 per cent on all billed merchandise and, what was much worse, on swap material listed according to the prices in some agreed catalogue, eithex Facit for Scandinavian stamps, Zumstein for European or Yvert for overseas. Particularly in the beginning, it was very difficult to convince the customs people that these prices were used only to establish relative values in an exchange transaction and really had no monetary significauce. It was not easy to convince customs that no precise taxable value could be placed on such material.

Even more absurd consequences of applying the sales tax rule occurred when, for instance, valuable Swedish and other Scandinavian stamps arrived from abroad to some Swedish expert for expertizing. It has happened that the expert in question could get the treasures out of bond only by depositing thousands of Kronor as security that the stamps would be returned to their owner after examination-when, of course, the deposit was refunded.

Not only the stamp collecting fraternity-and the dealers-are relieved at the reform, but also the customs men, who have encountered enormous problems and at times almost snowed under with these unwanted chores.

According to the new rule, all trading in stamps, and consequently also imports from abroad, enjoys freedom from sales tax since Jan. 1, 1974, with the exception only of "bulk merchandise packaged for sale in stores in the form of 'kilo ware' or packets."

Since these exceptions and certain remaining obscurities in the practical application, as well as book-keeping complications for the dealers, mean some added operating costs, the entire amount of the vanished sales tax, which would have been 15 per cent., has not been taken off net sale prices for instance by Frimärkshuset AB , publishers of the Facit catalogue. While prices for deiivery to customers abroad were always free of the sales tax included in Facit prices and thus automatically reduced by 15 per cent. even before the new years, prices in domestic sales have been reduced only hy 10 per cent.

Initially, some technical doubts have arisen about the applicability of the new system to pre-stamp material, since here there are no stamps involved. Franked covers, however, must be assumed to be free of the sales tax, even though the language used in the government proposal speaks of "first dav covers and entires." Nordisk Filateli, a monthly journal for collectors which still has to include the full amount of sales tax in its price per monthly copy of Sw. Kr. 2.75, assumes in its January issue that the Secretary of the Treasury, in speaking of "entires" did not have in mind the narrow sense of tho corresponding Swedish word helsaker, which was the one used in the government bill now enacted-meaning "postal stationery"-but rather "stamps ons cover and postal stationery." In the same way, the publication assumes that speaking of "first day covers" the law means to refer both to "first day corvers and first day letters."

There will always be a lawyer . . .
(Welcome back to these pages, Sven.)

## ICELAND GREENLAND DANISH WEST INDIES

We specialize primarily in the stamps of these fascinating countries. In addition to a large stock of the regular issues, a wide variety of specialist items are also available. Please send your want-lise and allow us to demonstrate the quality and promptness of our service.

A copy of our comprehensive price-list is available free upon request.

We of course are always interested in buying better Scandinavian material.

## Scandinavian Philatelic Service

P. O. Box 36693

Los Angeles, California 90036

## SWEDEN

We maintain a comprehensive stock of both mint and used sets and singles, booklets, first day covers, everything!!! We also have many of the early classics that are sure to go up in value, priced ridiculously low. Our latest price list will be sent free on request. Wantlists are welcomed. Go to the Sweden specialist! (SCC 1824)


We pay the highest prices for Swedish material.
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## 3 important events this year for the Philately of the world

## 1．INTERNABA（Swiss）

2．STOCKHOLMIA－74（Sweden）
3．POSTILJONEN AUCTION 26－27 Sept． 1974 at the STOCKHOLMIA－74 exhibition


You are welcome to participate in our international stamp auction which is one of the events at STOCKHOLMIA－74．

As a seller you will reach collectors and stamp dealers from all over the world．

Your material will be presented in an exclusive catalog，written in English， German and Swedish．You will be helped by our service and experience．
We are looking for good material and only of high quality－beautiful single stamps，rarities，letters and so on from the whole world．

If you are interested，please contact us now and you will get further informa－ tion．We can discuss and plan the selling of your stamps－a good result demands a good job and early planning！

## POSTILJONEN AB

HEAD OFFICE：S：a Tullgatan 3
S－ 21140 MALMÖ－SWEDEN
telephone：010－722 90

OFFICE IN GOTHENBURG：
Stampg． 38 S－ 41101
GOTHENBURG－SWEDFN
$t \in \mathrm{l}: 031$－ 190510
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## SELLING?

Getting the best price for your Scandinavian collection means selling to a dealer who knows the value of your stamps. Being Scandinavian specialists, we pay proper value for specialized material. When we appraise a collection, there is no guessing at market value as our Scandinavian auctions are an international market place for general and specialized Scandinavian stamps.

The rapid growth and success of our auctions allows us to be very competitive as cash buyers. We are small enough to be interested in better single items, and large enough to professionally and financially handle large collections. We will travel for important properties.

## BUYING?

Our next illustrated auction catalog of Scandinavia Specialized and worldwide sent for 30 c postage.

## sco DANAM STAMP COMPANY

Phone (215) 853-2862
P. O. Box 273

Springfield, Pa. 19064

